

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,533	03/11/2004	Yang Gao	0160112	8500
53375 FARJAMI & F.	7590 10/18/200 ARJAMI LLP	EXAMINER		
26522 LA ALA		•	JACKSON, JAKIEDA R	
SUITE 360 MISSION VIEJO, CA 92691			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIISSION VIE	30, 011)20)1		2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/799,533	GAO, YANG				
0	ffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jakieda R. Jackson	2626				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we only within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, believed by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time iiii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)☐ Resp	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Au</u>	igust 2007.					
2a)∐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
close	ed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of	Claims						
4)⊠ Clain	4) Claim(s) 1-11,13,15,17-27,29,31,33-36,39-43,46,48,49 and 51-56 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) O	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
, ——	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,15,17-27,29,31,33-36,39-43,46,48,49 and 51-56</u> is/are rejected.						
·	n(s) is/are objected to.	- laska a araba arab					
8) L Clain	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Pa	apers						
9) <u></u> The s	pecification is objected to by the Examiner	г.					
10) □ The d	lrawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)∐ The o	eath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See th	e attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)							
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) /Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P					

Application/Control Number: 10/799,533 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In response to the Office Action mailed June 25, 2007, applicant submitted an amendment filed on August 1, 2007, in which the applicant amended and requested reconsideration.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant argues that Bergstrom in view of Kaajas and Kingsbury does not disclose decomposing said input speech into a voiced portion and a noise portion using an adaptive separation component having a filter cut-off frequency;....transmitting said first set of parameters, said second set of parameters and a voicing index to a decoder, wherein said voicing index provides said filter cut-off frequency to said decoder for signal composition. Further applicant argues that Kingsbury is directed to an automatic speech recognition system and not a coding system. Applicant's arguments are persuasive, but are moot in view of new grounds of rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2626

4. Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13, 15, 17-21, 23-27, 29, 31, 33-36, 39-43, 46, 48, 51, 53 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergstrom et al. (USPN 5,809,459), hereinafter referenced as Bergstrom in view of Kaajas et al. (PGPUB 2004/0138874), hereinafter referenced as Kaajas and in further view of Zinser, Jr. et al. (USPN 6,138,092), hereinafter referenced as Zinser.

Regarding **claims 1 and 17**, Bergstom discloses a method and apparatus of processing speech comprising:

obtaining an input speech signal (input speech; column 3, line 63 – column 4, line 24);

processing said voiced portion of said input speech to obtain a first set of parameters using analysis by synthesis approach (analysis and synthesis processor; column 3, line 63 – column 4, line 24); and

processing said noise portion of said input speech to obtain a second set of parameters using open loop approach (open loop; column 12, lines 5-22), but does not specifically teach decomposing said input speech into a voiced portion and a noise portion using an adaptive separation component and transmitting the parameters to a decoder.

Kaajas teaches audio signal processing using CELP comprising decomposing said input speech into a voiced portion and a noise portion using an adaptive separation component (separate voiced/unvoiced; columns 1-2, paragraphs 0019-0022), to increase the coding gain.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bergstom's method and apparatus wherein it decomposes said input speech into a voiced portion and a noise portion using an adaptive separation component, as taught by Kaajas, to increase the coding gain which enhances spatial processing (column 1, paragraphs 0012-0013).

Bergstom in view of Kaajas discloses a method of processing speech, but does not specifically teach transmitting said first set of parameters, said second set of parameters and a voicing index to a decoder, wherein said voicing index provides filter cut-off frequency for signal decomposition.

Zinser teaches a method wherein it transmit said first set of parameters, said second set of parameters and a voicing index to a decoder, wherein said voicing index provides filter cut-off frequency for signal decomposition (cut off frequency; column 12, line 24 – column 13, line 2), to ensure effective performance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bergstrom in view of Kaajas' method and apparatus wherein it further comprises transmitting a voicing index to a decoder, wherein said voicing index provides filter cut-off frequency for signal decomposition, as taught by Zinser, to rapidly, efficiently and accurately characterize speech signals in a fashion lending itself to compact digital representation and for providing high quality speech signals from the compact digital representations (column 3, lines 4-9).

Regarding **claims 2 and 18**, Bergstrom in view of Kaajas disclose everything as claimed in claims 1 and 17. In addition Kaajas discloses a method and apparatus

Art Unit: 2626

wherein said input speech signal excludes background noise (columns 1-2, paragraphs 0019-0022).

Regarding **claims 3 and 19**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said separation component is a lowpass filter (lowpass filter; column 6, lines 29-66).

Regarding **claims 4 and 20**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus, wherein bandwidth of said lowpass filter is dependent upon a characteristic of said input speech (lowpass filter; column 6, lines 29-66).

Regarding **claims 5 and 21**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said characteristic of said input speech is pitch correlation (pitch; column 6, lines 29-66).

Regarding **claims 7 and 23**, Bergstrom in view of Kaajas disclose everything as claimed in claims 1 and 17. In addition Kaajas discloses a method and apparatus wherein said analysis by synthesis approach is a Code Excited Linear Prediction (CELP) process (columns 1—2, paragraphs 0019-0022)..

Regarding **claims 8, 24, 34 and 41**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said first set of parameters comprises pitch of said voiced portion of said input speech (pitch; column 6, lines 29-66).

Regarding **claims 9, 25, 35 and 42**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said first set of parameters comprises excitation of said voiced portion of said input speech (excitation; column 6, lines 29-66 and column 8, lines 19-26).

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding **claims 10, 26, 36 and 43**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said first set of parameters comprises energy of said voiced portion of said input speech (energy; column 8, lines 19-26).

Regarding **claims 11 and 27**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said second set of parameters comprises characteristics of a voicing index of said input speech (index; column 6, lines 29-66 and column 7, lines 22-53).

Regarding **claims 13 and 29**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said decoder device uses said information regarding said first set of parameters to synthesize said voiced portion of said input speech (synthesized speech; column 4, lines 6-13).

Regarding **claims 15 and 31**, Bergstrom discloses a method and apparatus wherein said decoder device uses said information regarding said second set of parameters to synthesize said noise portion of said input speech (synthesized speech; column 4, lines 6-13).

Regarding **claims 33 and 40**, it is interpreted and rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1 and 17. In addition, Bergstrom discloses an apparatus and method for synthesizing speech comprising:

a first module for obtaining a first set of parameters regarding a voiced portion of an input speech signal (input speech; column 3, line 63 – column 4, line 24);

a second module for obtaining a second set of parameters regarding a noise portion of said input speech signal (noise; column 20, lines 1-33);

Art Unit: 2626

a third module for synthesizing said voiced portion of said input speech signal from said first set of parameters approach (analysis and synthesis processor; column 3, line 63 – column 4, line 24);

a fourth module for synthesizing said noise portion of said input speech signal from said second set of parameters (noise; column 20, lines 1-33; and

a fifth module for combining said synthesized voiced portion and said synthesized noise portion to produce a synthesized version of said input speech (synthesize; column 4, lines 6-24), but does not specifically teach decomposing said input speech into a voiced portion and a noise portion using an adaptive separation component.

Kaajas teaches audio signal processing using CELP comprising decomposing said input speech into a voiced portion and a noise portion using an adaptive separation component (separate voiced/unvoiced; columns 1-2, paragraphs 0019-0022), to increase the coding gain.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bergstom's method and apparatus wherein it decomposes said input speech into a voiced portion and a noise portion using an adaptive separation component, as taught by Kaajas, to increase the coding gain which enhances spatial processing (column 1, paragraphs 0012-0013).

Regarding **claims 39** and **46**, it is interpreted and rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1 and 17. In addition, Zinser teaches a speech processing method

and apparatus wherein said synthesized noise portion is estimated (estimated; column 2, lines 22-34 and column 3, lines 16-32).

Regarding **claims 48, 51, 53 and 55**, it is interpreted and rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claims 1 and 17. In addition, Zinser teaches a speech processing method and apparatus wherein said filter cut-off frequency (cut off frequency) is communicated to said decoder using a plurality of bits in said voicing index (voiced) to indication to said decoder which filter to use for said signal decomposition (abstract, column 2, lines 22-34 and column 3, lines 16-32 with column 12, line 24 – column 13, line 2).

5. Claims 6 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergstrom in view of Kaajas and in further view of Gigi (USPN 6,453,283).

Regarding **claims 6 and 22**, Bergstrom in view of Kaajas discloses a method and apparatus for processing speech, but does not specifically teach wherein said characteristic of said input speech is gender of a person uttering said input speech.

Gigi teaches a speech processing method and apparatus wherein said characteristic of said input speech is gender of a person uttering said input speech (speech preferably is sex-specific; column 12, lines 23-45), to improve the overall quality.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bergstom in view of Kaajas' method and

Art Unit: 2626

apparatus, as taught by Gigi, to enable the production of more natural speech (column 1, lines 65-67).

6. Claims 49, 52, 54 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bergstrom in view of Kaajas and Kingsbury, and in further view of Li et al. (PGPUB 2007/0110042), hereinafter referenced as Li.

Regarding claims **49**, **52**, **54** and **56**, Bergstrom in view of Kaajas and Kingsbury disclose a method and apparatus for processing speech, but does not specifically teach wherein said voicing index defines a plurality of low pass filters.

Li discloses a speech processing method and apparatus wherein said voicing index defines a plurality of low pass filters (column 22, paragraphs 0250-0251 with column 27, paragraphs 0280-0281), to optimize the performance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bergstrom in view of Kaajas and Kingsbury's method and apparatus wherein said voicing index defines a plurality of low pass filters, as taught by Li, to multiply the down-sampled signal by cosine and sine, which optimizes the performance (column'22, paragraphs 0250-0251).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jakieda R. Jackson whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/799,533 Page 10

Art Unit: 2626

272-7619. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30am-2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JRJ October 8, 2007

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000